



# CGC: Lessons for eA from RHIC



Mark D. Baker




# Parton saturation (& CGC)

- Parton density cannot grow indefinitely.
- Recombination ( $gg \rightarrow g$ ,  $qg \rightarrow q$ ) kicks in at low  $x$ .



See e.g. Iancu, Venugopalan [hep-ph/0303204](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0303204) for a recent review  
History: GLR, Mueller-Qiu, M-V, BK, JIMWLK & many more...



# Accessing saturation at RHIC



Nuclei (CGC) → partonic system → frozen out hadrons  
Theory

Modeling ansatz: “final state” effects are essentially trivial.  
Let’s see what happens...

# Pseudorapidity density



$$\eta \equiv \tanh^{-1} \hat{\beta}_z \approx \tanh^{-1} \beta_z$$

# Parton Saturation “predicts” AA


$$\frac{dN}{d\eta} \cong c N_{part} \underbrace{(\sqrt{s})^\lambda f(\lambda|y|, Q_s)}_{\text{\# of gluons}}$$

$\lambda$  fixed by HERA data:

$$xG(x) \sim x^{-\lambda} \quad \& \quad \tau \sim Q^2 x^\lambda$$

Describes  $dN/d\eta$  shape!

Kharzeev & Levin, nucl-th/0108006



PHOBOS PRL 87 (2001)

Fit PHOBOS data at 130 GeV to set  $c, Q_s$

# Saturation Works at 200 GeV


L. McLerran, DNP 2001



nucl-ex/0112001

See now:

Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 202301(2002)



$\lambda$  fixed by HERA data:

$$xG(x) \sim x^{-\lambda} \quad \& \quad \tau \sim Q^2 x^\lambda$$


Describes  $dN/d\eta$  energy evolution!

$\eta$

Mark D. Baker



2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# Color Glass Condensate at RHIC



- Energy and  $N_{part}$  evolution work over a broad range.


# More CGC at RHIC




Iancu, Venugopalan hep-ph/0303204

Not just Kharzeev!


# The QCD Phase Diagram



# Interlude: Jet Quenching



See PRL 90 (2003) 082302



See now

- PRL 88 (2002) 022301
- arXiv:nucl-ex/0207009
- PRL 91 (2003) 072301
- arXiv:nucl-ex/0308006


Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop


# $N_{\text{part}}$ scaling: MAXIMAL jet quenching?

$$N_{\text{coll}} * \Sigma / V \sim N_{\text{part}}^{4/3} / L \sim N_{\text{part}}$$

**Only jets produced on the surface survive!**



PHOBOS, PLB 578 (2004) 297




Normalize by  $N_{\text{part}}/2$ .

Divide by the value at  $N_{\text{part}}=65$

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# Parton Saturation: another explanation?

KLM: PLB 561 (2003) 93




Requires strong saturation (large  $Q_s$ )


Nice summary from Jamal Jalilian-Marian (QM04)

- $R_{AA} < 1$ : initial state?
  - BFKL anomalous dim.:  $1/Q^2 \rightarrow (1/Q^2)^{0.6}$
  - Approximate  $N_{part}$  scaling
- $2 \rightarrow 1$  processes
  - (reduced back to back correlations)

# Accessing saturation at RHIC. (2)



IF saturation is strong enough to cause initial state “jet quenching” THEN it should show up as suppression in central dAu ( $R_{dAu} \sim 70\%$ )



**NO!**  
**The saturation theory overreached**

## For AuAu:


- Midrapidity jet-quenching is a “final state effect”.
- The matter produced is very dense and strongly interacting.

Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop



# Results from dAu near mid-rapidity

**PRL91, (2003), 072302-5**



# Saturation in dA continued

PHOBOS, nucl-ex/0311009, submitted to PRL




Claim: saturation ~OK for soft particles

Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# Latest KLN result






March 8, 2004 arXiv:hep-ph/0212316 v4

Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# Next idea: Go forward!





Note: PHOBOS  $R_{dA}(\eta=1) < RHIC R_{dA}(\eta=0)$ !

# Spectra in d+Au for $\eta > 0$

Brahms DNP - submitted to PRL


G. Veres QM04 (PHOBOS)



This could be the CGC!

CAVEAT:  
Shape not perfect


# What about backwards rapidity?



Phenix  
Brahms




Not exactly in violation of theory,  
but shouldn't really be in saturation region



Mark D. Baker

# Soft particle enhancement/suppression pattern

Miklos Gyulassy QM2004 - courtesy of Nouicer, Steinberg





Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# Where is midrapidity?

Soft particles see full participant zone




Hard, rare partonic collisions should just see NN frame

Mark D. Baker

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop

# “Hard” & “soft” particles behave similarly



— Phobos  $dN/d\eta$   $dA/pp$  scaled by  $1.4 / (N_{part}/2)$

“high  $p_T$ ” suppression (& enhancement) in dAu may interact with the bulk participant system or may lose rapidity through multiparticle collisions.

# Summary


- Heavy ion results do lend support to the ideas of parton saturation (CGC).
  - $dN/d\eta$  systematics for AA
  - $dN/d\eta$  for dA ( $\sim$ )
  - “High”  $p_T$  suppression in forward dA
- Puzzles
  - How can a theory about initial state gluons describe hadrons that have been through so much?
  - Is it an accident that the  $R_{dA}(\eta)$  enhancement/suppression pattern is the same for “hard” and “soft” particles?
- eA data will (eventually) be valuable.

# Extras

Mark D. Baker

**2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop**


# Comparing AuAu to pp



# of NN collisions  $\sim A^{4/3}$  (formally Glauber  $T_{AA}(b)$ )

# of participating nucleons:  $2A$

# “Tau scaling” in DIS



Mark D. Baker

$$\tau \propto Q^2 x^\lambda$$

2nd Electron Ion Collider Workshop